Rethinking Pruning Large Language Models: Benefits and Pitfalls of **Reconstruction Error Minimization**



Sungbin Shin¹, Wonpyo Park², Jaeho Lee^{1,2} and Namhoon Lee^{1,2} ¹POSTECH, ²Google

 Pruning LLMs by minimizing reconstruction errors should be done carefully because otherwise it could easily overfit the calibration data.

 Leveraging the generative nature of LLMs to create its own calibration data can mitigate this issue and improve generalization of pruned LLMs.

Background

- Pruning has the potential to reduce the computational requirements of LLMs, yet the standard approaches are not feasible as they require an extensive training process as well as training data.
- Consequently, pruning LLMs is done post training, by finding a sparse mask (and updating remaining weights) such that it can *reconstruct* the original dense pretrained model, as follows:

$$\min_{w,m} \|f(\bar{w}; \mathcal{D}) - f(m \odot w; \mathcal{D})\|_2^2$$
s.t.
$$\|m\|_0 \le k ,$$
(1)

- *i.e.*, given a pre-trained model \bar{w} , the goal is to find a pruning mask m such that the resulting sparse model $m \odot w$ reconstructs the predictions of the original dense model $f(\bar{w}; \cdot)$ on some caliration data \mathcal{D} .
- However, this *reconstruction error minimization* process (1) still requires a lot of memory, and thus, existing works take a "divide-and-conquer" approach: *i.e.*, split model into smaller submodels, prune each submodel individually, and simply put all resulting sparse submodels together.

Overfitting calibration data

- While one can reduce the reconstruction error, it turns out that this does not necessarily mean a "better" pruning result.
- More specifically, we find that reducing the reconstruction error often leads to overfitting the calibration data:

Reconstruction Error (normalized) Perplexity Zero-shot accuracy					Error (normalized)	
\mathbf{LR}	3.56	9.77	54.24	CR	Calibration	Test
BR	1.33	9.02	55.14	V	0.51	ົ້າງ
BR+GP	0.51	8.83	56.22	^ ^	0.51	2.20
BR+GP+CR	0.38	9.18	54.65	0	0.38	2.48

where it is seen that a method with a lower reconstruction error does not necessarily yield a lower perplexity or higher zero-shot accuracy.

• We note that this phenomenon seems to be more pronounced in larger models.

Reconstruction techniques

• We first show that the "divide-and-conquer" approaches create critically high compounding errors, and subsequently, that various engineering techniques can reduce this error quite significantly as seen in the following plots:



Specifically, we apply the following three techniques:



Leveraging self-generated calibration data to improve generalization

- We have seen that reconstruction techniques are useful but they can lead to undesirable overfitting.
- This can be explained by our intuition that the calibration data is highly limited in two aspects: it is too little (compared to optimization variables), and may not represent the training data (as it is arbitrarily given).
- Crucially, noticing that what we are dealing with is a generative model, we suggest creating calibration data on our own, that is potentially much bigger in size and closer to the data that the original model is trained on.
- Here, we create the calibration data similarly to Liu et al. (2023), and the results are as follows:



(i) block-wise reconstruction (BR) to extend the unit of optimization target from a layer to a block of layers; (ii) global propagation (GP) to use "global propagation" from the original dense model as input for the target reconstruction; (iii) cross-block reconstruction (CR) to stitch between blocks.

More results of reconstruction techniques on LLaMA-7B:





where we can see that leveraging the self-generated calibration data reduces both test error and perplexity, mitigating overfitting quite effectively.

Conclusion

- Minimizing reconstruction errors can have both benefits and pitfalls, suggesting fundamentally rethinking the current practice of pruning LLMs.
- Leveraging self-generated calibration data can potentially mitigate this issue.

Contact: {ssbin4, jaeho.lee, namhoonlee}@postech.ac.kr, wppark@google.com